		and Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPARTM United States Patent and Tr Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATEN PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.aspto.gov	ademark Office
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/576,359	05/22/2000	Kenneth B. Moore	REALNET.104A	1848
20995 7590 05/20/2003 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			ЕХАМП	NER
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			VU, KIEU D	
IRVINE, CA 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2173 DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A miles	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/576,359	MOORE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kieu D Vu	2173
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rej reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT fute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	01 April 2003 .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>10 and 12-30</u> is/are pending in the	e application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		· ·
6) Claim(s) <u>10 and 12-30</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) dis	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	oplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has be	en received.
Attachment(s)		
1) 🛛 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) 🔀 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🗌 Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 10 and 12-30 are pending.

2. A substitute specification is required since the original specification has holes on the top of pages.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 10, 12, and 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph,

as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject

matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the differences" (both occurrences). There is

insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the differences" (both occurrences). There is

insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 12, and 19-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because in claims 12-15,

Applicant is claiming a "device" or "system", however, no structure (hardware) has been

Page 2

recited in the claims. As such, the claims are directed to software per se and are non-

statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 10 and 12-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Washington et al ("Washington", USP 6542166).

Regarding claims 10, 12-13, Washington teaches steps of defining a class of graphical display objects (controls; col 3, lines 5-6) which comprises receiving a default definition data structure wherein the default definition data structure includes information about a default graphical display object (standard interface compliant control; col 3, lines 7-12); receiving a first class member definition data structure related to a first graphical display object (second internal control object) wherein the first class member definition data structure includes information about the differences (changes of the control) between the default graphical display object and the first graphical display object (col 3, lines 23-29); and receiving a second class member definition data structure related to a second graphical display object (third internal control object) wherein the second class member definition data structure includes information about the differences (changes of the control) between the default graphical display object and the first graphical display object (col 3, lines 23-29); and receiving a second class member definition data structure related to a second graphical display object (third internal control object) wherein the second class member definition data structure includes information about the differences (changes of the control) object (col 3, lines 23-29); and receiving a second class member definition data structure related to a second graphical display object (third internal control object)

۶.

the differences (changes) between the default graphical display object and the second graphical display object (col 3, lines 36-39).

Regarding claims 14-15, Washington teaches the steps of building a graphical display object (control) which comprises the receiving a default class definition (first internal control object) and extracting information relating to a graphical display object from the default class definition (col 3, lines 7-16); receiving a first class member definition (second internal control object) and extracting information relating to a graphical display object from first class member definition (col 3, lines 22-32); and building the graphical display object based at least upon the extracted information from the first class member definition from the default class definition and the extracted information from the default class definition wherein the extracted information from the first class member definition (col 3, lines 35-42).

Regarding claims 16,19, 22, 25, and 28, Washington teaches that the graphical display object relates to a graphical user interface object (control; col 3, lines 6-8).

Regarding claims 17 and 20, 23, 26 and 29, Washington teaches that the graphical user interface object includes programmable buttons (option buttons; col 1, lines 18-24).

Regarding claims 18, 21, 24, 27, and 30, Washington teaches that the default graphic display object is provided by a template (standard interface compliant control; col 3, lines 7-10); and wherein the first and second class definition data structure are

Page 4

۳.

provided by file created independent of a media player (copy of the control; col 3, lines 28-34; lines 35-39; col 5, lines 27-29).

9. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach about creating graphical user interface objects from templates which relate to the claimed invention.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kieu D. Vu whose telephone number is (703-605-1232). The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached on (703- 308-3116).

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)-746-7238	(After Final Communication)			
or				
(703)-746-7239	(Official Communications)			
(703)-746-7240	(For Status Inquiries, draft communication)			
and / or:				
(703)-746-5639	(use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for			
"INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal				
paper / amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions)				

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703-305-3900).

Kieu D. Vu

۰,

May 12, 03.

SUPERVISORE MENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100